

Turbulent Flows
Stephen B. Pope
Cambridge University Press (2000)
Solution to Exercise 5.41

Prepared by: Zhuyin Ren

Date: 05/07/03

a) The Reynolds equations (Eq.(4.12)) are

$$\frac{\bar{D}\langle U_j \rangle}{\bar{D}t} = \nu \nabla^2 \langle U_j \rangle - \frac{\partial \langle u_i u_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j}. \quad (1)$$

By differentiating Eq. 1 with respect to x_k , in homogeneous turbulence, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\bar{D}\langle U_j \rangle}{\bar{D}t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\nu \nabla^2 \langle U_j \rangle - \frac{\partial \langle u_i u_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad (2)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial t} + \langle U_i \rangle \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\nu \nabla^2 \langle U_j \rangle \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\partial \langle u_i u_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In Eq. 3, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\nu \nabla^2 \langle U_j \rangle)$ is zero because the mean velocity gradient is uniform for homogeneous turbulence and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\partial \langle u_i u_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right)$ is zero because of homogeneity. So Eq. 3 reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} + \langle U_i \rangle \frac{\partial^2 \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_k \partial x_j}. \quad (4)$$

Following the same argument, $\langle U_i \rangle \frac{\partial^2 \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k \partial x_k}$ is zero, so Eq. 4 reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (5)$$

b) According to the definition of the mean rate of strain, we get

$$\bar{S}_{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right). \quad (6)$$

According to Eq. 5, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (8)$$

Adding Eq. 7 to Eq. 8, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{2}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \quad (9)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d\bar{S}_{jk}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (10)$$

From Eq. 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d\bar{S}_{jk}}{dt} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d\bar{S}_{jk}}{dt} + \bar{S}_{ik} \bar{S}_{ji} + \bar{\Omega}_{ik} \bar{\Omega}_{ji} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \langle p \rangle}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \quad (12)$$

c) According to the definition of the mean rate of rotation, we get

$$\bar{\Omega}_{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right). \quad (13)$$

Subtracting Eq. 8 from Eq. 7, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad (14)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d\bar{\Omega}_{jk}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} = 0. \quad (15)$$

From Eq. 15, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d\bar{\Omega}_{jk}}{dt} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \langle U_k \rangle}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \langle U_j \rangle}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \langle U_i \rangle}{\partial x_j} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d\bar{\Omega}_{jk}}{dt} + \bar{S}_{ik} \bar{\Omega}_{ji} + \bar{\Omega}_{ik} \bar{S}_{ji} = 0. \quad (17)$$

[Note that the mean pressure field is of the form $\langle p(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = A(t) + B_i(t)x_i + C_{ij}(t)x_i x_j$, and that $C_{ij}(t)$ can be chosen to produce any desired evolution $d\bar{S}_{jk}/dt$. On the other hand, the evolution of the mean rotation rate is entirely determined by \bar{S}_{ij} and $\bar{\Omega}_{ij}$.]

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/1.0> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.